Biological control of *Monilinia fructicola* (G. Winter) Honey using two isolates of *Bacillus subtilis* on peaches

Control biológico de *Monilinia fructicola* (G. Winter) Honey mediante dos aislamientos de *Bacillus subtilis* en durazno



ABSTRACT

Brown rot of the peach tree caused by *Monilinia fructicola* affects the genus *Prunus* in the field, and especially at postharvest, causing losses of up to 60% of the harvested fruits. Brown rot management is currently done using the application of chemical fungicides that generate phytotoxicity in the fruits and contamination in the environment. This increases production costs, demanding the identification of different strategies for disease management. This research aimed to evaluate the biocontrol effects of two isolates of *Bacillus subtilis* (CB10 and CB11) against *M. fructicola* using *in vitro* tests and inoculated fruit versus a chemical control with the dicloran fungicide as a positive control. The inhibition of phytopathogenic growth as well as the severity and rate of inhibition of the *M. fucticola* were evaluated in dual media. The isolate CB10 in the dual cultures achieved an inhibition rate (biocontrol) of 88.5%, much higher than the other evaluated treatments. In the inoculated fruit this isolate CB10 achieved a rate of inhibition of the *B. subtilis* CB10 could be used in the biocontrol of *M. fructicola* for peaches in the management of brown rot disease.

Additional key words: biocontrol; antifungal effect; antagonistic bacteria; brown rot; stone fruits.

³ Corresponding author. monica.patino@unad.edu.co



¹ Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia de Colombia, Tunja (Colombia). ORCID Patiño-Pacheco, M.J.: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9645-1145

² Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia, Programa de Doctorado en Ciencias Biológicas y Ambientales, Tunja (Colombia). ORCID Fonseca-Carrero, J.: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2755-8111

RESUMEN

La pudrición parda del duraznero causada por *Monilinia fructicola* afecta al género *Prunus* en el campo y especialmente en poscosecha, provocando pérdidas de hasta el 60% en los frutos cosechados. El manejo de la pudrición parda actualmente se realiza mediante la aplicación de fungicidas químicos que generan fitotoxicidad en los frutos y contaminación en el ambiente. Esto aumenta los costos de producción, demanda la identificación de diferentes estrategias para el manejo de enfermedades. Esta investigación tuvo como objetivo evaluar los efectos de biocontrol de dos aislados de *Bacillus subtilis* (CB10 y CB11) contra *M. fructicola* mediante pruebas *in vitro* en medios y en frutos inoculados versus un control químico con el fungicida diclorán como un control positivo. La inhibición del crecimiento del patógeno así como la severidad y tasa de inhibición de *M. fructicola* se evaluaron en cultivos duales. El aislado CB10 en los cultivos duales logró una tasa de inhibición (biocontrol) del 88,5%, muy superior a los demás tratamientos evaluados. En el fruto inoculado este aislamiento CB10 logró un porcentaje de inhibición del patógeno del 95%, superior a otros tratamientos, incluido el fungicida diclorán. La investigación permitió afirmar que *B. subtilis* CB10 podría utilizarse en el biocontrol de *M. fructicola* para durazno en el manejo de la enfermedad de pudrición parda.

Palabras clave adicionales: biocontrol; efecto antifúngico; bacterias antagonistas; pudrición parda; frutos de hueso.

Received: 25-10-2023 Accepted: 06-03-2024 Published: 02-04-2024

INTRODUCTION

The genus Prunus belongs to the family Rosaceae in Angiosperms (flowering plants) (WFO, 2024). Peaches (Prunus persica [L.] Batsch) are considered a fruit with a pleasant flavor and aroma. They have many positive properties: anticancer, antiallergic, antitumor, antibacterial, antimicrobial, and antiinflammatory (Santos et al., 2013; Kant et al., 2018). Additionally, this fruit contains ascorbic acid (vitamin C), carotenoids, phenols, and numerous antioxidants (Gil et al., 2002). The crop is representative of the high-altitude tropical climate of Colombia. In those regions, varieties of peach trees adapted to the tropics are grown (Miranda and Carranza, 2013); and in Colombia during the year 2022, the crop totaled 2,265 ha with a production of 31,307 t (Agronet, 2023). The main producing departments are Boyaca (813.69 ha), Norte de Santander (852.80 ha), Santander (377.50 ha), and Huila (138.50 ha) (Agronet, 2023). In Colombia, there are comparative advantages for production, since by using cold compensators or growth regulators together with cultural practices, up to three harvests per year can be harvested (Castro and Puentes, 2012; Pinzón et al., 2014). This is a comparative advantage over countries in the temperate zones. Unfortunately, one of the main limitations in the production of this fruit are the diseases that limit growth: fungi, bacteria, virus, et al., that cause great losses during harvest and post-harvest, especially in the Department of Boyaca, where varieties such as Golden, Black King, Diamond, and Rubidoux are very susceptible to disease (Guarín Torres *et al.*, 2019).

Besides Colombia, the fungus brown rot (*Monilinia fructicola*) is very limiting in the producing areas of Australia, Brazil, China, Europe, and New Zealand (Hu *et al.*, 2011). The fungus affects branches, flowers, and fruits, drastically reducing the production and quality of the crops. The *M. fructicola* cycle is recurrent in the crop causing affected plants to develop blossom rot and cankers on the branches and stems; while in the fruit, it causes rot and mummification (Agrios, 2005).

It is estimated that *M. fructicola* can cause losses of 50 to 70%, especially in the flowering and fruiting stages that coincide with periods of high humidity and low temperatures. This pathogen also affects loquat crops throughout the world as well as other stone fruit species such as peach, plum, cherry, apricot, and pome fruits such as pear and apple (Agrios, 2005; Michailides *et al.* 2007; Luo, 2017; Yin *et al.*, 2021).

Monilia fructicola is considered an EPPO A2 pest recommended for regulation since 2004 (it was an A1 pest since 1984), and it is also an A1 quarantine pest according to the Inter-African Phytosanitary Council (IAPSC) since 1989 (EPPO, 2022). Currently, Colombia exports peaches to Swiss, Aruba, and Curaçao (OEC, 2024), so due to the relevance of the disease on fruit of export quality, control is obligatory in the country. Control of the disease is carried out through the application of chemical fungicides and other control practices such as pruning and thinning of diseased flowers, twigs, and fruits. But the continuous use of fungicides to manage the pathogen generates contamination in the crops and residues in the harvested fruits. It is worth clarifying that it is prohibited to apply any product on the fruits during post-harvest because of the potential risk for consumers (EPPO, 2022; Palmieri *et al.*, 2022).

There are reports of new *Monilia* strains that are tolerant and/or resistant to the active ingredients of some of the active fungicides, making their control increasingly difficult (FRAC, 2023). For example, there is resistance of an isolate of *M. fructicola* to the fungicide azoxystrobin (Chen *et al.*, 2015). To mitigate the negative impacts of conventional agriculture, new biological control agents such as bacteria, fungi, and yeasts have been incorporated into the managment of diseases in different crops (Rudrappa *et al.*, 2007). This represents a decrease in the use of chemical fungicides and a reduction in contamination and damage to the environment (Lima *et al.*, 2011: Abbey *et al.*, 2018).

Some bacteria, fungi, and yeasts naturally colonize the surface of plants, on their stems, leaves, fruits, and flowers. These organisms exert control over pest insects and phytopathogens, including *Monilinia* spp. (Palmieri et al., 2022). Bacillus is a cosmopolitan bacterium distributed in all agroecosystems. Bacillus arsenicus bacteria have been used in the bioremediation of soils and aquifers for arsenic (Shivaji et al., 2005), and as a biocontrol agent for fungi, phytopathogenic bacteria, and insect pests (biopesticides) (Elshakh et al., 2016). Numerous studies have shown that Bacillus species such as strains of Bacillus subtilis promote defense mechanisms in plants (Cesa-Luna et al., 2020). Other species of Bacillus affect different pathogens; their specificity depends on the production of antibiotics, biofilms, and siderophores that limit the growth of rhizosphere and phyllosphere microbiomes (Mosquera et al., 2014). Studies demonstrate the positive effect of *B. subtilis* in controlling tobacco root pathogens without affecting the soil microbiota (You et al., 2016).

Research shows the effectiveness of *B. subtilis* for the biocontrol of *M. fructicola*, both in field conditions and postharvest (Wang *et al.*, 2018b; Palmieri *et al.*, 2022).

Part of the mechanisms used for its control is the production of lipopeptides, for example, phengimycin that has an antifungal effect (Yánez-Mendizábal *et al.*, 2012). Other compounds developed by this bacterium are volatile organic compounds (VOCs) that inhibit the action of enzymes such as pectinase and cellulase, preventing cell damage caused by the pathogen (Zhou *et al.*, 2019).

Some research shows the effectiveness of *B. subtilis* for the biocontrol of *M. fructicola*, both in field and postharvest conditions (Wang *et al.*, 2018b; Palmieri *et al.*, 2022). Among the mechanisms used for *Bacillus subtilis* CPA-8 to control *M. fructicola* is the production of lipopeptides, for example, phengimycin, which has an antifungal effect. Other compounds developed by this bacterium are volatile organic compounds (VOCs) that inhibit the action of enzymes such as pectinase and cellulase, preventing cell damage caused by peach brown rot (*Monilinia* spp.) (Yánez-Mendizábal *et al.*, 2012).

Our research aimed to evaluate the control of *M*. *fructicola* in peach fruits under *in vitro* conditions and in fruits treated with two pre-selected isolates of *B*. *subtilis* (CB10 and CB11) as biocontrol agents and the commercial fungicide a.i., dicloran.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Microorganisms

In this research two *Bacillus subtilis* isolates were evaluated. These had previously demonstrated biocontrol activity against other phytopathogens. The CB10 isolate of *B. subtilis* Company. The CB11 and CB10 isolate of *B. subtilis* was isolated from a commercial peach crop located in Sotaquira (Boyaca) (Yuan *et al.*, 2019). In the laboratory, CB11 was identified by morphological and biochemical tests (MacFaddin, 2003; Botero Ospina *et al.*, 2013).

The *Monilia fructicola* isolate JEDO-107 used for this study was selected for its high pathogenicity, greater than >80% in four peach varieties. For the preparation of the biocontrol agent, each isolate of bacteria was seeded onto a glucose agar nutrient culture medium (5.0 g of peptone, 3 g of meat extract, 2.5 g of glucose, and 20 g of agar-agar in 1 L of distilled H₂0) and incubated for 3 d at 28°C. The isolate JEDO-107

of *M. fructicola* was cultivated onto a potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium for 15 d at 25°C .

Antifungal activity in vitro tests

To determine the in vitro antifungal activity of *B. subtilis* against *M. fructicola*, a dual culture method was used following Yuan *et al.* (2019). A M. fructicola mycelium disc (5 x 5 mm) was placed in the center of a PDA (pH = 7.4) Petri dish. To prepare the inoculum of the bacteria, the *B. subtilis* strains (CB11 and CB10) were cultivated in a liquid culture nutrient broth (pluripeptone 5 g L⁻¹, meat extract 3 g L⁻¹, sterile distilled water 1 L) for 3 d, accompanied by shaking and a temperature of 28°C. After incubation, CFU was counted in a Neubauer chamber; and the inoculum was prepared by adjusting it to a concentration of $1\cdot10^{9}$ CFU/mL, which is used for *in vitro* tests and for inoculation in peach fruits (Li *et al.*, 2015).

For dual cultures, a loop of each isolate of *B. subtilis* was linearly streaked onto a PDA dish. A disk of mycelium of the fungus was then deposited in the center of the Petri dish. The inoculation of the bacteria and the fungus was carried out at the same time, and the dishes were incubated for 10 d at 25° C in total darkness.

Antagonist activity by bacteria was evaluated by the growth radius of the mycelium of M. fructicola vs. colonies of B. subtilis (CB10, CB11). The zone of inhibition around the mycelial disk of the pathogen was measured with a Vernier (Yuan *et al.*, 2019). Measurements were carried out every 24 h for 8 d. The growth of the pathogen was compared to that of the control, where the bacteria were not present and the individual growth of the bacteria (Tab. 1).

Table 1. Treatments evaluated in vitro tests of B. subtilis against M. fructicola.				
Treatments	Description			
T1 C1	Inoculation of isolate CB10, <i>B. subtilis</i> with <i>M. fructicola</i> onto PDA			
T1 C2	Inoculation of isolate CB11, <i>B. subtilis</i> with <i>M. fructicola</i> , onto PDA			
C1	Isolate CB10 of <i>B. subtilis</i> cultivated onto PDA			
C2	Isolate CB11 of <i>B. subtilis</i> cultivated onto PDA			
T1	Strain (JEDO-107) of <i>M. fructicola</i> cultivated onto PDA			

Antifungal activity in peach fruits

Healthy peach fruits var. Dorado were previously disinfected on the surface with a soapy water solution, and they were then immersed in a 2.5% sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) solution for 5 min. Finally, they were washed three times with sterile distilled water and dried under aseptic conditions in a laminar flow chamber.

Disinfected peach fruits were immersed in solution of each B. subtilis isolate (CB10, CB11) at a concentration of 1.10^{-9} CFU/mL, together with an adjuvant dispersant and adherent product [Inex-A, Cosmoagro, 0.3 g L⁻¹] (active ingredient ethoxylated alkyl polyether alcohol, alkyl polyglycol, and aryl polyethoxyethanol), for 4 h (Li et al., 2015). Afterward, the fruits were wounded in the equatorial zone with a sterile loop; and a mycelium-agar disc $(5 \times 5 \text{ mm})$ of M. fructicola JEDO-107 was inoculated onto these fruits. The fruits were incubated for 15 d at 25°C in a humid chamber. Control fruits were inoculated with agar disks without fungus. The treatment was fruit inoculated with the pathogen. A chemical treatment with fungicide a.i. dicloran (BOTRAN 75 WP, Magro S.A) was included, where the same inoculation method described above was used. The mode of action of the fungicide was to inhibit the germination of conidia. The recommended dose for management of pathogens such as *Monilia*, *Botrytis* among others was 1.2 kg ha⁻¹, according to efficacy tests (Tab. 2).

Table 2. Treatments evaluated in peach fruit for the control of *M. fructicola*.

Acronym	Description
TB1M	Inoculation with B. subtilis CB10 and M. fructicola
TB2M	Inoculation with B. subtilis CB11 and M. fructicola
ταμ	Application of dicloran and inoculation of <i>M. fructicola</i>
TM	Inoculation of <i>M. fructicola</i>
TC	Inoculation s with sterile distilled water

Treatment with *Bacillus* isolates was carried out as follows; first, the fruits were inoculated with the *B. subtilis* isolates (CB10 and CB11). Then with a sterile punch of 0.5 cm in diameter, perforations were made in the equatorial zone, and a disk of *M. fructicola* mycelium of the same size was deposited in each hole. Finally, in all the treatments evaluated, the fruits were left in humid chambers under controlled conditions at a temperature of 24°C and in total darkness



for a period of 7 d. The experimental design was completely randomized with three repetitions. During the experiment, the percentage of severity was recorded, corresponding to the area of tissue affected by the disease and established according to the following formula and severity scale proposed by Zapata (2002) (Tab. 2).

The effect of control by isolates of *B. subtilis* and chemical fungicide was evaluated as the incidence and severity of brown rot on fruit. The growth of the area of lesion around the inoculation site was measured every 24 h for 8 d. The percentage of severity was also calculated according to a scale proposed by Zapata (2002). The inhibition rate was calculated according to formula Yuan *et al.* (2019) that evaluates the effectiveness of disease control.

$$TI(\%) = \frac{(To - Tt)}{Tc} \times 100$$

where, TI was inhibition rate (%), To lesion size of untreated fruits (cm²), Tt lesion size of treated fruits (cm²), and Tc lesion size of control (cm²) \times 100%.

Statistical analysis

The experimental design was completely randomized with three repetitions for each treatment evaluated. In the first phase, the percentages of inhibition of the growth of the pathogen in the culture medium were calculated. In the second phase, the percentage of inhibition of the pathogen in fruits and the severity of the symptoms were calculated. Data were analyzed by applying ANOVA to determine the statistical differences between treatments with the LSD statistical test, using the SPSS Statistics 11.5 statistical package with a P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Figure 2 shows peach fruits (*Prunus persica* [L.] Batsch), var. Dorado affected by brown rot caused by *Monilia fructicola* in the municipality of Jenesano (Boyaca-Colombia). Infected plants show circular spots; then a dense layer of gray mycelium forms that quickly covers them causing rot and finally mummification



Figure 1. Characteristics of pathogen fungus and biocontroller bacteria. A, peach fruit infected with brown rot was used for this study. B, colony of *Monilinia fructicola* JED0107 onto PDA medium grown at 25°C and 96 h. C, morphology of ramoconidia of *Monilia* seen under the microscope at 40x. D, *Bacillus subtilis* after Gram staining viewed at 100x. E, *Bacillus subtilis* isolate CB10 on nutrient agar after 48 h. F, *Bacillus subtilis* isolate CB11 onto nutrient agar after 48 h.

(loss of water from the tissues). The affected fruits lose all commercial value and are a source of pathogen inoculum. The isolation of *M. fructicola* (JEDO-107), was via the culture medium potato dextrose agar (PDA). The mycelium shows abundant sporulation with gray and yellow in the center and was identified by molecular and pathogenic tests causing severity > 80% in four peach varieties (Guarín Torres *et al.*, 2019).

Two isolates of *Bacillus* spp. (CB10 and CB11) were identified in the laboratory. The colonies were white in color, mucoid in appearance, and measured approximately 2.5 to 4.0 mm in diameter. Their appearance was smooth; the edges were wavy; their growth was very rapid in nutrient agar (NA) culture medium. After 24 h, gram staining was performed and they were identified as gram-positive bacilli; their shape was bacillary, each measuring 1 to $6 \,\mu$ m long, with spores in the center of the bacteria (Fig. 1).

Antifungal activity in vitro tests

We evaluated the biocontrol capacity of *B. subtilis* CB10 and CB11 against *M. fructicola* by the inhibition of mycelial growth and its halo. *Monilia fructicola* had a mycelial inhibition rate close to 90%, and there was also evidence of a reduction in the sporulation. The CB11 isolate inhibited 65% of the fungus pathogen and the inhibition halo was less than 7.5 mm.

According to the results, a decrease in the diameter of the mycelium and sporulation of the pathogen was recorded in fruits inoculated with the two isolates of *B. subtilis* CB10 and CB11 accompanied by a commercial fungicide dicloran compared to the control (Fig. 2). There were differences in the size of the inhibition zone between the confrontation of each *B. subtilis* isolate and the growth of the fungus on an agar surface. This is shown in the biocontrol effect of *B. subtilis* CB11 against this phytopathogen compared to *B. subtilis* CB10 (Tab. 3).

Antifungal activity in peach fruit

The evaluated concentration of $1\cdot10^{9}$ CFU/mL allowed control of *M. fructicola*. It should be noted that the of *B. subtilis* CB10 showed better control than *B. subtilis* CB11 while CB11 registered similar results of control to fungicide. Results show that applications of the *B. subtilis* CB10 can be used to control brown rot caused by *M. fructicola* (Fig. 3).

The results showed that when the fruits were inoculated with *M. fructicola*, the disease developed very quickly, affecting more than 60% of the surface of the fruits in less than 6 d. In the fruits treated with the fungicide dicloran, the disease affected up to 35% of the fruit surface, and a similar result was registered with *B. subtilis* CB11 compared to the control fruit. Finally, with the treatment with the *B. subtilis* CB10,

Table 3. Rate and halo of inhibition of two strains of B. subtilis against M. fructicola.					
Treatments	Inhibition rate (%)	Inhibition halo size (mm)			
M. fructicola x B. subtilis CB10	88.5±1.73	14±1.41 b			
M. fructicola x B. subtilis CB11	70±1.41	7.5±1.29 a			

The meaning of different letters shows statistical differences according to the LSD test, P < 0.05.







gure 3. Antifungal effect of isolates of *B. subtilis* (CB10 and CB11) and a fungicide dicloran against the isolate JED0-107 of *M. fructicola* in peach. fruit. Fruit symptoms at 3 d (above) and 6 d (below), where: a, fruit inoculated with *M. fructicola;* b, absolute control; c, CB11 isolate with *M. fructicola;* d, CB10 isolate with *M. fructicola*. e, fruits sprayed with dicloran and with *M. fructicola*.

the lowest severity percentage on fruit was recorded, with only 10% (Figs. 3 and 4).





Rot inhibition rate

To determine the control of *M. fructicola* exerted by two isolates of *B. subtilis* and the fungicide Dicloran, we evaluated the inhibition rate corresponding to the effect of growth on the phytopathogen. The results

showed that the treatment corresponding to the CB10 isolate was the most effective in the control of the disease, registering a lower average growth radius of 0.53 mm per day and a higher rate of inhibition with high statistical differences \geq 95. The inhibition rates for the treatments corresponding to Dicloran and *B. subtilis* CB11 were similar without statistical differences. For the treatment with fruit inoculated with the pathogen, the average growth radius was 4.53 mm d⁻¹. The sporulation demonstrates the fast multiplication of the pathogen in the fruits. This shows that there was an anti-sporulating and antifungal effect produced by the *B. subtilis* strains (Tab. 4).

Table 4.	Inhibition rate of <i>M. fructicola</i> and mean radius of
	the lesion in peach fruits treated with two strains
	of <i>B. subtilis</i> (CB10 and CB11) and a fungicide.

Treatments	Rate of inhibition (%)	The mean radius of the lesion (mm)
M. fructicola	-	21.16±4.53 a
Dicloran	70.33±14.43 a	20.13±2.32 b
<i>B. subtilis</i> (CB11)	71.33±13.65 a	4.61 ± 2.46 b
B. subtilis (CB10)	95.83±7.21 b	5.77±0.53 c

Different letters show statistical differences according to the LSD test, $P\!<\!0.05.$

DISCUSSION

Biological disease control is a new approach to the management of the main diseases in crops of interest. In recent years, the effect of the applications of *Bacillus* strains on the phyllosphere and rhizosphere of plants has been studied (Rudrappa *et al.*, 2008). and different members of the *Bacillus* genus are known to benefit plants by protecting plants from pathogens like *Botritys cinerea* and *Cladosporium fulvum* (Wang *et al.*, 2018a). Different species of *Bacillus* called plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGP) are being used in the manufacture of new biofertilizers and as agents for use in sustainable agriculture (Wang *et al.*, 2018b).

Bacillus subtilis is the first bacteria reported as a biological controller of brown rot (*M. fructicola*) (Wint) Honey, in peach fruits (Wilson and Wisniewski, 1989). Numerous antibiotics and lipopeptides have been identified such as: fengycin, iturin and surfactin that this bacterium produces to control this pathogen (Ongena *et al.*, 2005). Work done by Yánez-Mendizábal *et al.* (2012) with the CPA-8 strain of *B. subtilis*, demonstrated strong antifungal activity against two isolates of M. Laxa and *M. fructicola*.

One of the indirect mechanisms developed by different Bacillus species is to increase systemic resistance in plants (Elshakh et al., 2016), the solubilization and mineralization of nutrients such as phosphorus and potassium, nitrogen fixation (Rudrappa et al., 2008), the production of 1-aminocyclopropne-1-carboxylic acid (ACC), antagonists of pathogens (Yuan et al., 2019), phytohormones and antimicrobial compounds (Gotor-Vila et al., 2017), and the stimulation of plant defenses (Passari et al., 2018; Etesami et al., 2023). Another of the control mechanisms against reported pathogens are direct competition for space and nutrients, parasitism, antibiosis, and the production of enzymes and siderophores (Wong et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2023; Reyna et al., 2023). Bacillus species antagonize various plant diseases induced by nematodes, fungi, viruses, bacteria, and other plant pests (Etesami et al., 2023).

In other research, antagonistic effects of different isolates of *Bacillus* spp. against certain phytopathogens such as *M. fructicola* were explained by the production of fengycin-like lipopeptides such as sulfactins, bacilomycins D, iturin A, and fengimycin with antifungal activity (Yánez-Mendizábal *et al.*, 2012).

The fengimycin produced by the strain CPA-8 of Bacillus subtilis controlled by M. fructicola (Yánez-Mendizábal et al., 2012). In other research using the strain WXCDD105 of B. subtilis, compounds such as antibiotics and chitinases reduced the severity caused by Botrytis cinerea and Cladosporium fulvum in tomato fruits (Wang et al., 2018a). Antibiotics, produced by *B. subtilis*, play a very important role in the control of phytopathogens. There is direct evidence from in vitro tests that they inhibit or slow down growth as an important biocontrol mechanism (Patiño et al., 2012; Mosquera et al., 2014). Another compound that stands out in the biocontrol of fungi is volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Zhou et al. (2019) describe the use of the CF-3 strain of *B. subtilis* against *M. fruc*ticola in vitro and in vivo tests.

In studies developed by Zhen *el al.* (2022), we demonstrated that the CF-3 strain of *B. subtilis* produced compounds such as VOC CF-3 that influenced reducing the pathogenicity and growth of *M. fructicola*, in affected fruits. This is the basis for a potential mechanism of action against this pathogen.

CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrated that the CB10 strain of *B. subtilis* can be used as a preventive control for *M. fructicola*. This strain inhibited the growth and sporulation of the pathogen both *in vitro* tests and in inoculated fruits. This research demonstrated the potential of the *B. subtilis* CB10 strain as a biological control against *M. fructicola*. It is important to evaluate the interaction of *B. subtilis* with other bacterial strains, to use them as biofungicides or bioinoculants in different crops and deciduous trees.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

Author's contributions

MJP and JAF designed the experiments, MJP carried out the field and laboratory experiments, MJP contributed to the data analysis, and MJP and JAF wrote the article. All authors reviewed the final version of the manuscript.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES

- Abbey, J., D. Percival, S.K. Asiedu, and A. Schilder. 2018. Susceptibility to *Botrytis* blight at different floral stages of wild blueberry phenotypes. p. 19. In: North NABREW American Blueberry Researchers and Extension Workers Conference. University of Maine, Orono, ME. https://digitalcommons.library.umaine.edu/ nabrew2018/proceedingpapers/proceedingpapers/19/
- Agrios, G.N. 2005. Plant pathology. 5th ed. Elsevier Academic Press, Amsterdam. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/ C2009-0-02037-6
- Agronet. 2023. Área, producción y rendimiento nacional por cultivo: durazno. In: https://www.agronet.gov. co/estadistica/Paginas/home.aspx?cod=1; consulted: February, 2024.
- Botero Ospina, M.J., J. Castaño Zapata, A. Saldarriaga Cardona, and A.M. Castro Toro. 2013. Manual práctico de bacteriología vegetal. Universidad de Caldas, Manizales, Colombia.
- Castro, A. and G.A. Puentes. 2012. Ciruelo (*Prunus salicina* Lindl.) y duraznero (*Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch.). pp. 370-391. In: G. Fischer (ed.). Manual para el cultivo de frutales en el trópico. Produmedios, Bogota.
- Cesa-Luna, C., A. Baéz, V. Quintero-Hernández, J. De la Cruz-Enríquez, M.D. Castañeda-Antonio, and J. Muñóz-Rojas. 2020. The importance of antimicrobial compounds produced by beneficial bacteria on the biocontrol of phytopathogens. Acta Biol. Colomb. 25(1), 140-154. Doi: https://doi.org/10.15446/abc. v25n1.76867
- Chen, F., S.E. Everhart, P.K. Bryson, C. Luo, X. Song, X. Liu, and G. Schnabel. 2015. Fungicide-induced transposon movement in *Monilinia fructicola*. Fungal Genet. Biol. 85, 38-44. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.fgb.2015.10.006
- Elshakh, A.S.A., S.I. Anjum, W. Qiu, A.A. Almoneafy, W. Li, Z. Yang, Z.-Q. Cui, B. Li, G.-C. Sun, and G.-L. Xie. 2016. Controlling and defence-related mechanisms of *Bacillus* Strains against bacterial leaf blight of rice. J. Phytopathol. 164, 534-546. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jph.12479
- EPPO. 2022. EPPO A2 List of pests recommended for regulation as quarantine pests, version 2023-09. In: https://www.eppo.int/ACTIVITIES/plant_quarantine/ A2 list; consulted: February, 2024.
- Etesami, H., B.R. Jeong, and B.R. Glick. 2023. Biocontrol of plant diseases by *Bacillus* spp. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 126, 102048. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.pmpp.2023.102048
- FRAC. 2023. FRAC Code List 2023: Fungal control agents sorted by cross-resistance pattern and mode of action. In: https://www.frac.info/home; consulted: February, 2024.

- Gil, M.I., F.A. Tomás-Barberán, B. Hess-Pierce, and A.A. Kader. 2002. Antioxidant capacities, phenolic compounds, carotenoids, and vitamin C contents of nectarine, peach, and plum cultivars from California. J. Agric. Food Chem. 50(17), 4976-4982. Doi: https:// doi.org/10.1021/jf020136b
- Gotor-Vila, A., N. Teixidó, A. Di Francesco, J. Usuall, L. Ugolini, R. Torres, and M. Mari. 2017. Antifungal effect of volatile organic compounds produced by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* CPA-8 against fruit pathogen decays of cherry. Food Microbiol. 64, 219-225. Doi: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2017.01.006
- Guarín Torres, Y.C., M.J. Patiño Pacheco, and J.W. Martínez. 2019. Identificación del agente causal de la pudrición parda en frutos de duraznero (*Prunus persica*, L. Batsch) en Boyacá. Entramado 15(1), 298-309. Doi: h t t p s : //d o i . o r g / 10.18041/1900-3803/ entramado.1.5418
- Hu, M.-J., K.D. Cox, G. Schnabel, and C.-X. Luo. 2011. Monilinia species causing brown rot of peach in China. PLoS One 6(9), e24990. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0024990
- Kant, R., R.S. Shukla, and A. Shukla. 2018. A review on peach (*Prunus persica*): an asset of medicinal phytochemicals. Int. J. Res. Appl. Sci. Eng. Technol. 6(1), 2186-2200. Doi: http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2018.1342
- Li, Q., L. Wu, J. Hao, L. Luo, Y. Cao, and J. Li. 2015. Biofumigation on post-harvest diseases of fruits using a new volatile-producing fungus of *Ceratocystis fimbriata*. Plos One 10(7), e0132009. Doi: https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0132009
- Lima, G., R. Castoria, F. De Curtis, A. Raiola, A. Ritieni, and V. De Cicco. 2011. Integrated control of blue mould using new fungicides and biocontrol yeasts lowers levels of fungicide residues and patulin contamination in apples. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 60(2), 164-172. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2010.12.010
- Luo, C.-X. 2017. Advances and prospects on researches of brown rot disease on fruits. Acta Phytopathol. Sin. 47(2), 145-153.
- MacFaddin. 2003. Pruebas bioquímicas para la identificación de bacterias de importancia clínica. 3th ed. Médica Panamericana, Buenos Aires.
- Michailides, T.J., Y. Luo, Z. Ma, and D.P. Morgan. 2007. Brown rot of dried plum in California, new insights on an old disease. APSnet Features. Doi: https://doi. org/10.1094/APSnetFeature-2007-0307
- Miranda, D. and C. Carranza. 2013 Caracterización, clasificación y tipificación de sistemas productivos de caducifolios, con énfasis en duraznero, manzano, ciruelo y peral. pp. 87-105. In: Miranda, D., G. Fischer, and C. Carranza (eds.). Los frutales caducifolios en Colombia: situación actual, sistema de cultivo y planes de

10

desarrollo. Sociedad Colombiana de Ciencias Hortícolas, Bogota.

- Mosquera, S., L.M. González-Jaramillo, S. Orduz, and V. Villegas-Escobar. 2014. Multiple response optimization of *Bacillus subtilis* EA-CB0015 culture and identification of antifungal metabolites. Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol. 3(4), 378-385. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.bcab.2014.09.004
- Ongena, M., P. Jacques, Y. Touré, J. Destain, A. Jabrane, and P. Thonart. 2005. Involvement of fengycin-type lipopeptides in the multifaceted biocontrol potencial of *Bacillus subtilis*. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 69, 29-38. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-005-1940-3
- Palmieri, D., G. Ianiri, T. Conte, R. Castoria, G. Lima, and F. De Curtis. 2022. Influence of biocontrol and integrated strategies and treatment timing on plum brown rot incidence and fungicide residues in fruits. Agriculture 12(10), 1656. Doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/ agriculture12101656
- Passari, A.K., P.C. Lalsiamthari, Zothanpuia, V.V. Leo, V.K. Mishra, M.K. Yadav, V.K. Gupta, and B.P. Singh 2018. Biocontrol of *Fusarium* wilt of *Capsicum annuum* by rhizospheric bacteria isolated from turmeric endowed with plant growth promotion and disease suppression potential. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 150, 831-846. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-017-1325-3
- Patiño, H.L., R.E. Bustamante, and L. Vásquez D. 2012. Manejo biológico en la filósfera: modelo Mycosphaerella fijiensis Morelet en banano (Musa AAA). pp. 179-187. In: Hoyos Carvajal, L.M. (ed.). Enfermedades de plantas: control biológico. ECOE Ediciones, Bogota.
- Pinzón, E.H., A.C. Morillo, and G. Fischer. 2014. Aspectos fisiológicos del duraznero (*Prunus persica* [L] Batch), en el trópico alto. Una revisión. Rev. U.D.C.A Actual. Divul. Cient. 17(2), 401-411. Doi: https://doi. org/10.31910/rudca.v17.n2.2014.243
- OEC, Observatory of Economic Complexity. 2024. Peaches & nectarines (fresh) in Colombia. In: https://oec. world/es/profile/bilateral-product/peaches-nectarines-fresh/reporter/col; consulted: March, 2024.
- Reyna, M., E.P. Macor, A.C. Vilchez, and A.L. Villasuso. 2023. Response in barley roots during interaction with *Bacillus subtilis* and *Fusarium graminearum*. Biol. Control 179, 105128. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.biocontrol.2022.105128
- Rudrappa, T., K.J. Czymme, P.W. Paré, and H.P. Bais. 2008. Root-secreted malic acid recruits beneficial soil bacteria. Plant Physiol. 148(3), 1547-1556. Doi: https://doi. org/10.1104/pp.108.127613
- Santos, C.M., C.M.P. Abreu, J.M. Freire, and A.D. Corrêa. 2013. Atividade antioxidante de frutos de quatro cultivares de pessegueiro. Rev. Bras. Frutic. 35(2), 339-344. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1590/ S0100-29452013000200002

- Shivaji, S., K. Suresh, P. Chaturvedi, S. Dube, and S. Sengupta. 2005. *Bacillus arsenicus* sp. nov., an arsenic-resistant bacterium isolated from a siderite concretion in West Bengal, India. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 55(3), 1123-1127. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.63476-0
- Wang, H., Y. Shi, D. Wang, Z. Yao, Y. Wang, J. Liu, W. Zhang, and A. Wang. 2018a. A biocontrol strain of *Bacillus* subtilis WXCDD105 used to control tomato *Botrytis ci*nerea and *Cladosporium fulvum* cooke and promote the grown of seedlings. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19(5), 1371. Doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19051371
- Wang, X.Q., D.L. Zhao, L.L. Shen, C.L. Jing, and C.S. Zhang. 2018b. Application and mechanisms of *Baci-Illus subtilis* in biological control of plant disease. pp. 225-250. In: Meena, V. (ed.). Role of rhizospheric microbes of soil. Springer, Singapore. Doi: https://doi. org/10.1007/978-981-10-8402-7_9
- WFO. 2024. Prunus L. In: http://www.worldfloraonline. org/taxon/wfo-4000031284; consulted: April, 2024.
- Wilson, C.L. and M.E. Wisniewski. 1989. Biological control of postharvest diseases of fruits and vegetables: An emerging technology. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 27, 425-441.
- Wong, M.J.O., X. Liang, M. Smart, L. Tang, R. Moore, B. Ingalls, and T.C. Dong. 2016. Microbial herd protection mediated by antagonistic interaction in polymicrobial communities. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 82(23), 6881-6888. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02210-16
- Yánez-Mendizábal, V., H. Zeriouh, I. Viñas, R. Torres, J. Usall, A. de Vicente, A. Pérez-García, and N. Teixidó. 2012. Biological control of peach brown rot (*Monilinia* spp.) by *Bacillus subtilis* CPA-8 is based on production of fengycin-like lipopeptides. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 132(4), 609-619. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10658-011-9905-0
- Yin, L.-F., S.-Q. Zhang, J. Du, X.-Y Wang, W.-X. Xu, and C.-X. Luo. 2021. *Monilinia fructicola* on loquat: An old pathogen invading a new host. J. Integrative Agric. 20, 2009-2014.
- You, C., C. Zhang, F. Kong, C. Feng, and J. Wang. 2016. Comparison of the effect of biocontrol agents Bacillus subtilis and fungicide metalaxyl-mancozeb on bacterial communities in tobacco rhizospheric soil. Ecol. Eng. 91, 119-125. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ecoleng.2016.02.011
- Yuan, X., X. Hou, H. Chang, R. Yang, F. Wang, and Y. Liu. 2019. Bacillus methylotrophicus has potential applications against Monilinia fructicola. Open Life Sci. 14(1), 410-419. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1515/biol-2019-0046
- Zapata, C.J. 2002. Principios básicos de fitoepidemiologia. Universidad de Caldas, Manizales, Colombia.
- Zhen, C.-Y., W.-D. Li, S.-Y. Wu, P.-Y. Zhao, Z. Qin, and H.-Y. Gao. 2022. Effects of *Bacillus subtilis* CF-3 volatile

organic compounds on the transcriptome and proteome of *Monilinia fructicola* reveal a potential mechanism of action. Biol. Control 168, 104872. Doi: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2022.104872

- Zhou, M., P. Li, S. Wu, P. Zhao, and H. Gao. 2019. Bacillus subtilis CF-3 volatile organic compounds inhibit Monilinia fructicola growth in peach fruit. Front. Microbiol. 10, 1804. Doi: https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2019.01804
- Zhu, H., S. Wu, S. Tang, J. Xu, Y. He, Z. Ren, and E. Liu,. 2023. Isolation, identification and characterization of biopotential cyclic lipopeptides from *Bacillus subtilis* strain JN005 and its antifungal activity against rice pathogen *Magnaporthe oryzae*. Biol. Control 182, 105241. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.biocontrol.2023.105241